PAUL MAXWELL

Between-Review Governance Check

Use this after a material cyber decision to check whether the agreed position is still connected to current operating reality.

OWNER

Who is responsible for maintaining the agreed position now?

Can the decision be traced to the person or function responsible for the outcome, including dependencies and escalation?

EVIDENCE

What should exist by now if the decision is being implemented as intended?

Look for current evidence that the important action, control, supplier commitment, test or assumption still supports the position.

TEMPORARY DEPARTURES

Are any exceptions still inside the conditions that made them acceptable?

Confirm the owner, rationale, compensating condition and the point at which the exception must be reconsidered.

REVIEW TRIGGER

Has anything changed enough to bring the decision back early?

Consider changed dependencies, failed tests, missed conditions, stale evidence or a tolerance that is no longer being met.

OUTCOME

Record whether to continue, correct or escalate, and why.

Next action, owner and review point

Practical organising check only. Not a maturity model, formal assessment or statement of compliance.